Systematic Review on Sustainable Design Thinking Through Biomimetic Approach

Vasileios Alevizos
Karolinska Institutet
Solna, Sweden

Nikitas Gerolimos
University of West Attica
Attica, Greece

Sabrina Edralin
University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign
Illinois, USA

Clark Xu
Mayo Clinic Artificial Intelligence & Discovery
Minnesota, USA

Akebu Simasiku
Zambia University
Ndola, Zambia

Georgios Priniotakis
University of West Attica
Attica, Greece

George A. Papakostas
MLV Research Group, Department of Informatics, Democritus University of Thrace
Kavala, Greece

Zongliang Yue
Auburn University Harrison College of Pharmacy
Alabama, USA

***Abstract*—Biomimetic approaches have emerged as compelling instruments in the pursuit of sustainable design. With growing environmental challenges, the responsibility to develop eco-friendly architectural solutions has become critical. A targeted review was conducted to explore the integration of biomimicry into sustainable design thinking, focusing on how natural processes can inform and enhance architectural practices. By analyzing various biomimetic strategies and their applications in architectural contexts, an attempt was made to bridge the gap between biological systems and sustainable design practices. The characteristic features of biomimicry involve the emulation of natural processes, leading to innovative and efficient solutions. It was observed that by mimicking biological strategies, significant improvements in thermal efficiency, daylight optimization, and material adaptability could be achieved. Quantitative measurements indicated a 20% reduction in energy use intensity, with cooling loads decreasing by 36.5% when biomimetic shading skins were applied. Such noticeable improvements highlight the effectiveness of biomimicry in sustainable architecture. However, the process of integrating biomimetic principles into design practices is not without challenges. Complicated by the diversity of natural systems, the task requires careful analysis and adaptation of biological characteristics to suit architectural needs. Despite these complexities, biomimetic approaches introduce adaptive systems into designs that can be developed for efficiency, aesthetics, and environmental harmony.**

***Index Terms*—Design thinking, Biomimicry, Biomimetics, Sustainable architecture.**

I. Introduction

Biomimetic algorithms have been recognized as powerful tools for sustainable product optimization. The characteristics of these algorithms involve the emulation of natural processes leading to innovative solutions. In the praxis of engineering, such algorithms tend to enhance efficiency and sustainability. The scope of their adaptation extends across various industries to enable advancements that hitherto were unattainable. By mimicking biological strategies, sustainable practices are integrated into product development. Previous literature has explored the compression of complex biological systems into abstract models extensively. Not only has this process been instructive in bridging biology and technology, but it has also been insightful. Concise representations facilitate knowledge transfer between disciplines. This emphasizes the need to cast off superfluous details to bring out essential principles. The descent from complex to simple models allows for better sizing of the biological phenomena [1]. Challenges endure in ensuring that the process does not lose the essence, but overly simplified models may fail to capture critical aspects. Ultimately, the praxis of abstraction serves as an apothegm for interdisciplinary collaboration. The capacity to endure the compression process without loss of meaning is valuable. When handled correctly, concise models become an instructive tool. The literature thus highlights the balance required in knowledge compression to maintain fidelity while achieving simplicity.

Stemming from ”bioinspiration”, which takes observations of biological principles and applies those concepts to design as a creative approach to problem-solving, biomimetrics and biomimicry evolved as two recent approaches to interdisciplinary fields [2]. Biomimetics was coined by Otto H. Schmitt [3] and originated in medical and engineering research niches, but was popularized by zoologist Werner Nachtigall and architect Göran Pohl when they realized the potential of bionics as a scientific method from the biomimetic design process [4]. Biomimicry expands upon the architectural design method and takes a more innovative and sustainable approach beyond aesthetic appeal. There are many examples of biomimicry integration and real-world adoption in architectural practices as seen in high-rise buildings and villages and at different biomimetric design levels (organism, behavior, and ecosystem) [2]. The Pearl River Tower in Guangzhou, China is an award-winning 71-story tower that was inspired by the sea sponge to consume less energy. The design choice to integrate wind turbines for electricity generation, photovoltaic systems, radiant cooling, and other features to enhance energy-saving initiatives mimics the sea sponge and its natural absorption of gallons of water and organisms into itself each day [5].

The engineering architecture and design process involves input from a variety of stakeholders and external forces including corporate governance, macroeconomic environment, business and competitive market dynamics [6]. Even without the added consideration of biomimicry design, a Bain & Company survey of 300 businesses found that 98% of sustainability initiatives failed, with top cited causes including lack of investment, competing priorities, organizational culture change, decision making and narrative justification challenges [7].

By emulating the principles of nature, biomimicry offers adequate frameworks to tackle perplexing sustainability issues while promoting harmonization with ecological systems. The integration of sustainable design thinking with biomimetic principles represents a transformative approach to innovation, fostering ecological resilience and efficiency. Biomimicry, which draws on nature's evolutionary solutions, has gained prominence for its role in tackling sustainability challenges. For example, biomimicry emphasizes the potential to develop sustainable technologies while acknowledging gaps in its alignment with systemic ecological practices [8]. A plethora of tools exist to facilitate the biomimetic design process, in which only one (known as AskNature) out of forty-three of those identified was widely known to general innovators outside of the exclusive research community for its usefulness in categorization based on function in a publicly-available online database of biomimetic taxonomy [9].

The study of multi-scale structures through topology optimization demonstrates how natural hierarchical designs like bones and bamboo can inspire lightweight and robust systems, enhancing sustainable material use [10]. Urban biomimicry introduces another dimension, advocating designs that emulate ecosystems to regenerate urban environments, as seen in projects like Lavasa Hill, India, which reconnect urban areas to their ecological context [11]. Concurrently, the Biomimetic Design Method has formalized processes for integrating natural principles into technological applications, addressing both innovation and sustainability [12]. This synthesis is evident in mapping biomimicry's contributions to Sustainable Development Goals, highlighting its dual role in healthcare and ecological restoration [13]. Innovations in biomimetic robotics, such as fish-inspired designs for underwater vehicles, showcase the fusion of fluid dynamics with biological insights to enhance efficiency and reduce environmental impact [14].

As humanity faces the Anthropocene's challenges, interdisciplinary research integrating biomimetics offers systemic solutions to global crises [15]. Furthermore, scaling laws in biomimetics caution against direct biological-to-technological transfers, emphasizing the need for adaptations that consider dimensional constraints [16]. Finally, computational analysis in biomimetics enhances the understanding of dynamic behaviors, paving the way for optimized and sustainable designs [17]. The perplexity of designing for sustainability is alleviated through harmonization with biological systems. Research mapping biomimicry to Sustainable Development Goals highlights its persuasive potential in enhancing energy, water, and infrastructure systems while promoting ecosystem coexistence [18]. This meta-analysis contributes to the sustainable design thinking paradigm by examining how biomimicry can be leveraged to streamline architectural processes. By running the numbers on various biomimetic models, we detail the ways in which machine learning (ML) algorithms can benefit from these natural design principles. For the sake of narrowing our focus, we circle back to specific architectural elements where biomimicry has the most significant impact. The integration of ML not only enhances the efficiency of design iterations but also allows for more innovative solutions inspired by nature. This synergy between biomimicry and artificial intelligence offers a new paradigm in sustainable architecture, potentially revolutionizing how we approach design thinking.

II. Methodology

This section details the methodology employed for this meta-analysis. The analysis criteria were established to examine sustainable design thinking through a biomimetic approach. Interactions between different biomimetic strategies were considered to ensure a comprehensive understanding. A cross-sectional analysis was conducted to observe the evolution of design principles over time. The analysis focused on thermal efficiency, daylight optimization, and material adaptability within the context of sustainable design. Thermal efficiency was evaluated to address the global surge in building energy consumption. Daylight optimization was assessed to ensure indoor environments utilize natural light effectively, minimizing glare and overheating. Material adaptability was investigated to explore kinetic and adaptive systems that mimic biological adaptability, enhancing both sustainability and occupant comfort. Several mathematical models were proposed to quantify the performance of the designs.

Multi-objective optimization algorithms were applied to various configurations of biomimetic shading skins. The models analyzed energy use intensity (EUI), thermal loads, and spatial daylight autonomy (sDA):

$$\text{EUI} = \frac{\text{Total Energy Consumption}}{\text{Total Building Area}}$$

$$\text{sDA} = \left(\frac{\text{Area Receiving Sufficient Daylight}}{\text{Total Area}} \right) \times 100\%$$

This optimization approach takes into account the multiple constraints of EUI, thermal load, and sDA, which allows for an unified model training pass to learn realistic weights for these energy dimensions for ease of practical application. For example, the optimization explores optimal EUI for a thermal load that is likely to occur for the material under normal operating conditions and a sDA above activation level threshold.

Evolutionary algorithms were employed to optimize daylight and thermal performance. The thermal comfort index was calculated as a function of indoor temperature T_{indoor}, outdoor temperature T_{outdoor}, and relative humidity H_{relative}:

$$\text{Thermal Comfort Index} = f(T_{\text{indoor}}, T_{\text{outdoor}}, H_{\text{relative}})$$

This adjusts the thermal indices for the built environment into perceived heat by human sensory perception for energy-efficient buildings and power infrastructure applications.

Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) was utilized for airflow and heat flow analysis:

$$Q_{\text{transfer}} = U \cdot A \cdot \Delta T$$

where Q_{transfer} is the heat transfer, U is the thermal transmittance, A is the surface area, and ΔT is the temperature difference.

This enables detailed analysis of the combustion process and of the efficiency of the corresponding energy conversion and capture.

III. Findings

Implementation of the previous models revealed that biomimetic approaches significantly enhance energy efficiency and comfort. Biomimetic shading skins achieved a 20% reduction in energy use, with cooling loads dropping by 36.5%. Kinetic façades improved thermal comfort indices by up to 50%, thus optimizing daylight distribution. Adaptive skins ensured responsive reactions to environmental changes, minimizing energy consumption significantly. These findings suggest a harmonious alignment between biomimetic design principles and sustainable architecture. The adoption of biological strategies in architectural contexts allows for the gradual accumulation of benefits over time. Transitioning to biomimetic designs provides an opportunity to evolve sustainable practices. The teleology behind these designs indicates a purposeful direction towards sustainability.

TABLE I
Summary of Biomimetic Design Approaches

Category	**Focus Area**	**Mathematical Models**
Shading Skins	Daylight and Energy Efficiency	EUI, sDA, Parametric Optimization [19]
Kinetic Façades	Dynamic Adjustments	Thermal Comfort Index, Evolutionary Algorithms [20]
Adaptive Building Skins	Thermal and Visual Comfort	CFD Analysis, Heat Transfer Equations [21]

On the other hand, multiple case studies were delineated, capturing a breadth of design contexts. The phases of building-scale expansions were examined through pilot prototypes or partial implementations in mid-rise structures, thereby ensuring that the complexities of biological emulation could be tested for theoretical bounds. In particular, though substantial benefits emerged in smaller scales, the transition to large-scale applications remains hampered by logistical constraints, regulatory hurdles, or limited resource allocation. These factors necessitate further exploration into integrated design processes that could mitigate cost burdens while cultivating stakeholder engagement. Scaling these strategies requires targeted optimization of materials, structural dimensions, or building systems.

When applying biomimicry inspired design to energy projects in particular, a significant barrier to organizational adoption is the high upfront cost which must be financed [22]. This, in turn, increases the sensitivity of energy projects to changing interest rates and alternative power generation opportunity costs that reduces the room for innovation in energy projects that eschew biomimicry inspired design for more conservative, tried and tested architectural design patterns. Building architecture in Panama has followed the natural design pattern of termite colony nests to simultaneously optimize structural stability and HVAC ventilation for energy-efficient construction [23]. Similarly, animal fur, skin and blood inform the construction of sustainable building façades by presenting heating and cooling configurations that natural evolution has selected for in a variety of climates [24].

Despite these challenges, the adoption of biomimicry-based design has boosted economic growth in countries with developing economies, such as the introduction of wind turbines based on the ridges of humpback whale fins [25]. Biomimicry for sustainable design solutions varies by the solution purpose (innovation, net-zero, social transformation, biosynergy) and the fidelity of the mimesis (flexible or fixed) [26].

Within this methodology, cost implications are included to broaden the evaluation scope. Construction expenses for bio-inspired shading systems can appear initially prohibitive, yet life-cycle assessments suggest that savings in operational costs become commensurate over time. By adopting biomimetic methods, it is hypothesized that resource efficiency is improved through passive regulation mechanisms, enabling more resilient structures. Projections indicate widespread adoption of such approaches will lead to considerable reductions in energy consumption, which would ultimately justify investments towards nature-inspired solutions. ML models can be employed to dynamically optimize biomimetic designs and reinforcement learning could determine ideal form adaptations during operation. For instance, pipelines would create optimized shading systems, driven by real-time data, producing near-instant updates. Furthermore, reinforcement learning is utilized to tweak façade parameters, resulting in efficient thermal regulation. Overall, policy incentives, training sessions, and interdisciplinary collaboration are proposed for architectural integration, ensuring a holistic approach that fosters synergy. Key stakeholders are comprised of partnerships among architects, biologists, developers, engineers, ML experts to expedite design convergence. Further cohesion is promoted across design dimensions, enabling more sustainable buildings that mimic ecological resilience.

IV. Discussion

The results allude to the prolific potential of biomimicry in sustainable design. Through the exchange of ideas between biology and architecture, responsive systems can be developed. The cross-pollination of disciplines foster innovation, allowing sustainable design to evolve. The alignment of biomimetic strategies with architectural needs is both opportune and necessary for future developments. ML architectures can benefit from the adaptation of biomimetic design models by incorporating precision-focused algorithms. Parametric optimization represents energy-efficient shading skins and can be enhanced using reinforcement learning to optimize configurations dynamically. Neural networks, especially convolutional architectures, can be trained to identify patterns in thermal load data, ensuring the sameness of energy-efficient outputs under varying environmental conditions. Extended frameworks for kinetic façades can be improved through recurrent neural networks, capturing sequential environmental inputs such as solar timing or temperature fluctuations for real-time adjustments. In addition, clustering algorithms can support the segmentation of façade zones based on heat distribution and daylight needs, ensuring a harmonious composition of adaptive elements. Finally, decorative aspects of adaptive building skins can be managed through generative adversarial networks (GANs), enabling the creation of aesthetically pleasing designs while maintaining functionality.

V. Conclusion

Biomimetic approaches possess the potential to advance sustainable design thinking. Principles observed in nature inspire innovative solutions that improve various aspects of architectural design. The incorporation of biomimetic strategies resulted in enhanced thermal efficiency, optimized daylight utilization, and adaptive material systems that respond to environmental changes. The study has demonstrated it is possible to achieve energy reductions beyond traditional thresholds by emulating biological systems. Such improvements are of great interest to the field of sustainable architecture as they contribute to the reduction of environmental impact on a global scale. The presence of adaptive systems in building design introduces a dynamic element that was previously considered impossible to achieve with static structures. Kinetic façades and adaptive skins demonstrate significant improvement in thermal comfort indices, enhancing occupant well-being. The ability of these systems to respond to environmental stimuli mirrors the radiant adaptability found in natural organisms. The findings of this study suggest that the integration of biomimetic principles into sustainable design practices holds great promise. The potential for innovation in this area is vast, with opportunities to explore new materials, forms, and systems that are efficient and harmonious with the environment. Future research should continue to explore the application of biomimetic strategies in architecture, focusing on innovative strategies and novel approaches to overcome challenges related to scalability and implementation. Bridging the gap between theoretical models with practical applications is essential, thus ensuring that the benefits of biomimicry are fully realized in constructed environments.

References

[1] A. J. Lopatkin and J. J. Collins, "Predictive biology: modelling, understanding and harnessing microbial complexity," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 507–520, 2020.

[2] N. Chayaamor-Heil, "From bioinspiration to biomimicry in architecture: Opportunities and challenges," *Encyclopedia*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 202–223, 2023.

[3] "In appreciation¶."

[4] W. Nachtigall and G. Pohl, *Bau-Bionik: Natur-Analogien-Technik*. Springer-Verlag, 2013.

[5] S. Mirniazmandan and E. Rahimianzarif, "Biomimicry, an approach toward sustainability of high-rise buildings," *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions A: Science*, vol. 42, pp. 1837–1846, 2018.

[6] L. Blank and A. Tarquin, "Engineering economy, 9th edition," *McGraw Hill*, 2024.

[7] J. Davis-Peccoud, P. Stone, and C. Tovey, "Achieving breakthrough results in sutainability," *Bain Company*, 2016.

[8] T. Mead and S. Jeanrenaud, "The elephant in the room: biomimetics and sustainability?" *Bioinspired, Biomimetic and Nanobiomaterials*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 113–121, 2017.

[9] K. Wanieck, P.-E. Fayemi, N. Maranzana, C. Zollfrank, and S. Jacobs, "Biomimetics and its tools," *Bioinspired, Biomimetic and Nanobiomaterials*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 53–66, 2017.

[10] J. Wu, O. Sigmund, and J. P. Groen, "Topology optimization of multi-scale structures: a review," *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, vol. 63, pp. 1455–1480, 2021.

[11] E. Blanco, M. Pedersen Zari, K. Raskin, and P. Clergeau, "Urban ecosystem-level biomimicry and regenerative design: Linking ecosystem functioning and urban built environments," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 404, 2021.

[12] Y. H. Cohen and Y. Reich, *Biomimetic design method for innovation and sustainability*. Springer, 2016, vol. 10.

[13] R. Raman, A. Sreenivasan, M. Suresh, and P. Nedungadi, "Mapping biomimicry research to sustainable development goals," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 18613, 2024.

[14] W. K. Li, H. Chen, W. C. Cui, C. H. Song, and L. K. Chen, "Multi-objective evolutionary design of central pattern generator network for biomimetic robotic fish," *Complex & Intelligent Systems*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1707–1727, 2023.

[15] M. Möller, P. Höfele, A. Kiesel, and O. Speck, "Reactions of sciences to the anthropocene: Highlighting inter-and transdisciplinary practices in biomimetics and sustainability research," *Elem Sci Anth*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 035, 2021.

[16] V. Perricone, C. Santulli, F. Rendina, and C. Langella, "Organismal design and biomimetics: a problem of scale," *Biomimetics*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 56, 2021.

[17] Z. Zhang, Q. Wang, and S. Zhang, "Review of computational fluid dynamics analysis in biomimetic applications for underwater vehicles," *Biomimetics*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 79, 2024.

[18] K. Sagdic, I. Eş, M. Sitti, and F. Inci, "Smart materials: rational design in biosystems via artificial intelligence," *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 40, no. 8, pp. 987–1003, 2022.

[19] N. Ashraf and A. R. Abdin, "Biomimetic design synthesis and digital optimization of building shading skin: A novel conceptual framework for enhanced energy efficiency," *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 323, p. 114824, 2024.

[20] S. O. Sadegh, S. G. Haile, and Z. Jamshidzehi, "Development of two-step biomimetic design and evaluation framework for performance-oriented design of multi-functional adaptable building envelopes," *Journal of Daylighting*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 13–27, 2022.

[21] A. M. Faragalla and S. Asadi, "Biomimetic design for adaptive building façades: a paradigm shift towards environmentally conscious architecture," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 15, p. 5390, 2022.

[22] B. Sterfen, "Estimating the cost of capital for renewable energy projects," *Energy Economics*, vol. 88, p. 104783, 2020.

[23] M. Austin, D. Garzola, N. Delgado, J. Jimenez, and D. Mora, "Inspection of biomimicry approahces as an alternative to address climate-related energy building challenges: A framework for application in panama," *Biomimetics*, vol. 5, p. 40, 2020.

[24] M. Webb, "Biomimetic building facades demonstrate potential to reduce energy consumption for different building typologies in different climate zones," *Clean Technology Environmental Policy*, vol. 24, pp. 493–518, 2020.

[25] A. Lebdioui, "Nature-inspired innovation policy: Biomimicry as a pathway to leverage biodiversity for economic development," *Ecological Economics*, vol. 202, p. 107585, 2022.

[26] L. Ilieva, I. Ursano, L. Traista, B. Hoffmann, and H. Dahy, "Biomimicry as a sustainable design methodology-introducing biomimicry for sustainability framework," *Biomimetics*, vol. 7, p. 37, 2022.